

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/647,206	08/26/2003	Chiao-Yu Jen	FP9716	1892	
75	90 10/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Chiao-Yu Jen			SICONOLF	SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
PO Box 82-144 Taipei,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7. *		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/647,206	JEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	$-\rho$			
		Robert A. Siconolfi	3683	\			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for the second secon	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	tage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on 8/02/04 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamprey (U. S. Patent no. 3,545,737).

Lamprey discloses: External frame 10, rod 12, braking layer 11.

Lamprey does not specifically disclose the use of materials with different hardness.

Lamprey discloses the use of a wide variety of materials for the elements that have various hardness values (see column 2 lines 9 –22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use materials with a different hardness value as such is merely a design choice.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,206 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Menta According 10/16/04
Robert A. Siconolf

Examiner

Art Unit 3683